

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/653,028	EXLEY ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VAN H. NGUYEN	2194	

All Participants:

(1) VAN H. NGUYEN

(2) Cyrus F. Bharucha (Registration No. 42, 324)

Date of Interview: 11 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

59-89

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorizes Examiner to amend the claims as presented in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: NOW ALLOWED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

/VAN H NGUYEN/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)